

**NEW YORK STATE OSTEOPATHIC MEDICAL SOCIETY
2009 NYSOMS INTERN/RESIDENT/FELLOW SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH
POSTER COMPETITIONS**

Experimental Research or Case Study

Friday, April 9, 2010

Sheraton Long Island – Hauppauge, NY

APPLICATION AND PRESENTATION PROCEDURES & POLICIES

Eligibility

The NYSOMS Annual Experimental and Case Study Poster Competitions are open only to **Osteopathic Interns, Residents and Fellows** who are members of NYSOMS.

Multiple entries are allowed if space permits at the discretion of NYSOMS. If you are submitting multiple entries, indicate the order of preference for submission of the abstracts. You will be eligible for only one prize.

NYSOMS reserves the right to limit the number of accepted posters based on space availability.

The competition will be open to research of the type acceptable to the AOA Scientific Conference. The work presented must be the work of the submitter. Explanatory clinical research, descriptive clinical studies and health policy, education and other research subjects will be accepted. **No pure literature reviews will be accepted.** Research must have been done when the participant was a medical student or in postgraduate training. Complete, well documented and researched case studies will be acceptable. The final poster **must** contain the results of the study.

Entrants are encouraged to submit research that is original to this competition and has not been published. Entries that have been shown at local hospitals, regional or national conferences will be accepted.

Time and Place

Deadline for submission of application and abstract is **Thursday February 25, 2010 by 5p.m.**

The competitions will take place on **Friday April 9, 2010.**

All competition participants will receive a **notice of confirmation** from the NYSOMS office which will state the exact location and time of the competition including setup time.

The competitions will take place in conjunction with **NYSOMS' Eastern Regional Osteopathic Convention (EROC)** at the **Sheraton Long Island Hotel** in Hauppauge, NY.

Application

The completed application(s) and abstract(s) must be received by the NYSOMS office (1855 Broadway, New York, NY 10023) **no later than Thursday February 25, 2010 by 5p.m.** A copy of the abstract must be submitted electronically via email to info@nysoms.org. The application form is available from the NYSOMS office or at www.nysoms.org.

IRB approval must be obtained if applicable and the appropriate box checked on the application form. If approval has not been received, the reason must be indicated. Applications that do not contain IRB approval or IRB exemption will not be accepted.

The NYSOMS **application form** must be completed and sent with the **abstract and signatures** required verifying that the work is that of the person submitting it. The signature of the applicant's DME or program director is required for each form. Applications will not be accepted without the required signatures.

Abstract

Abstracts for Experimental Research Studies and Abstracts for Case Presentations should follow the NYSOMS' Research and Case Study Abstract formats and examples provided.

The abstract must contain preliminary results although final results are expected on the poster itself. Acceptance of the poster will be contingent upon the appropriateness of the abstract.

The **abstract** must be no more than 300 words, 12 point type size, singled spaced on one (1) page 8.5" x 11" page with one inch wide margins. Electronic version should be a Microsoft Word or Text format.

NYSOMS will produce a "book" of abstracts that will be provided to the judges prior to the competition.

Poster

The poster itself must be two-dimensional. It should use a horizontal format and not be larger than 4' x 6' on a **rigid backing**. Text must be large enough to be read from a distance of three feet. Identifying text for the title, institution and authors must be no less than one inch high.

Onsite set up

Onsite registration opens at 8:00a.m.

Participants must mount their poster during the time indicated in the confirmation notice.

The posters will be mounted in the designated exhibit area in a numbered location that is predetermined randomly.

Presentation

Each entrant will have a maximum of **four minutes** to present their research information to the judges. Only one author will be permitted to present. If not the submitting author, points will be deducted.

Judging

A panel of **judges** appointed by NYSOMS will evaluate the posters in accordance with the objective criteria set forth in the scoring forms.

Evaluation of Abstracts presentation will be completed prior to the competition and the points will be included in the judging process of the posters.

Points will be deducted if the submitting author is not present at the competition and/or if the abstract submitted does not follow competition protocol.

The judges' decision will be final.

Awards

The prizes will be for each competition (Experimental Research and Case Study): **First Place-\$250; Second Place-\$100; and Third Place-Honorable Mention**. Only **one prize** will be awarded per person.

All participants will receive a Certificate of Participation.

NYSOMS cannot be responsible for unclaimed posters following the presentations.

New York State Osteopathic Medical Society (NYSOMS)

1855 Broadway, New York, New York 10023

Phone: (800) 841-4131 Fax: (212) 261-1786

Web- Address: www.nysoms.org E-mail: info@nysoms.org

NEW YORK STATE OSTEOPATHIC MEDICAL SOCIETY

Case Report Abstract Format

- **Title** – The title is a summary of the abstract itself and should convince the reader that the topic is important, relevant, and innovative.
- **Authors** – Include name, degree and institutional affiliation. The authors included should be those who contribute significantly to the intellectual content of the case report.
- **Introduction** - Describe the context of the case and explain its relevance and importance
 - Describe whether the case is unique. If not, does the case have an unusual diagnosis, prognosis, therapy or harm? Is the case an unusual presentation of a common condition? Or an unusual complication of a disease or management?
 - Describe the instructive or teaching points that add value to this case. Does it demonstrate a cost-effective approach to management or alternative diagnostic/treatment strategy? Does it increase awareness of a rare condition?
- **Case description** – Follow the basic rules of medical communication. Report the case in sequence.
 - Describe the history, examination and investigations adequately. Is the cause of the patient's illness clear-cut? What are other plausible explanations?
 - Describe the treatments adequately. Have all available therapeutic options been considered? Are outcomes related to treatments? Include the patient's progress and outcome.
- **Discussion** – Discuss rationale for decisions that were made and the lesson from the case.
 - Report a literature review of other similar cases. Describe how this case is different from those previously reported.
 - Explain the rationale for reporting the case. What is unusual about the case? Does it challenge prevailing wisdom?
 - In the future, could things be done differently in a similar case?

Note: Abstracts are limited to **300** words.

Case Report Abstract Example

Title: Osteopathic treatment of nephrotic syndrome

Authors: Sonia Rivera-Martinez, OMS-IV, ⁽¹⁾ John D. Capobianco, DO⁽¹⁾

⁽¹⁾New York College of Osteopathic Medicine, New York Institute of Technology
Dept. of Osteopathic Manipulative Medicine, Old Westbury, NY 11568

Introduction: Nephrotic syndrome is a clinical state characterized by proteinuria, hypoalbuminemia, hypercholesterolemia and peripheral edema/anasarca. In this case, an African American female with IgA nephropathy developed nephrotic syndrome. This case is remarkable as IgA nephropathy is uncommon in females and in those of African American descent. Furthermore, less than 10 percent of patients with IgA nephropathy acquire nephrotic range proteinuria. In addition, a literature review revealed no previous reports of osteopathic treatment in the clinical management of nephrotic syndrome.

Case description: A 19-year-old African American female with a medical history significant for gross hematuria secondary to IgA nephropathy presented to the hospital with complaint of fatigue, reduced appetite, abdominal distension, peripheral and facial edema, decreased urine output and weight gain. She reported no other autoimmune disorders. Physical examination was remarkable for facial and peripheral edema and abdominal distention with a positive fluid wave. Laboratory findings demonstrated proteinuria, hypoalbuminemia, elevated cholesterol and triglyceride levels and ascites on abdominal x-ray. She was admitted with a diagnosis of nephrotic syndrome was made. Medical management consisted of salt and fluid restriction diet, intravenous diuretics and albumin infusion. Despite the aggressive treatment to induce diuresis the patient developed anasarca with a urine output that was less than 200 cc's per day. On hospital day 5, daily osteopathic manipulative treatment was added to her management resulting in significant improvement. Within an hour after the first osteopathic treatment the patient voided 400 cc's and thereafter the urine output increased exponentially with eventual return to the patient's baseline weight. The patient was discharged on day eight.

Discussion: This case illustrates the potential benefit of utilizing osteopathic manipulative treatment as part of the management of a patient presenting with nephrotic syndrome. Early institution of this form of treatment could reduce hospital stay. Research on this topic is recommended.

NEW YORK STATE OSTEOPATHIC MEDICAL SOCIETY

Research Study Abstract Format

- **Title** - The title should reflect and concisely describe your research project.
- **Authors** - Include authors name, degree and institutional affiliation
- **Context/Background** - Why is the topic you have selected a problem that needs to be addressed? What is missing from the field of study that your study is going to address? Provide a one-sentence summary of the rationale for the study question.
- **Objective(s)** - What does this study intend to resolve? Provide a one-sentence description (eg, "To determine...", "To establish...") of the study's primary objective. Authors may choose to include key secondary objectives.
- **Methods** - A short paragraph discussing the design, setting, patients, and interventions (Refer to the descriptions below). This section describes how the study was carried out.
 - **Design** - A statement of the study's basic design (eg, randomized controlled trial, double-blind, cohort, survey, cost-effectiveness analysis). Note: Make sure you include in the design statement a notation that the research study was approved by the IRB (institutional review board)
 - **Setting** - A one-sentence description of the clinical circumstances of the setting (eg, general community, primary care center, hospitalized care).
 - **Patients (or other participants)** - A brief description of the key eligibility criteria of the study's participants. The total number of the participants must be included and how many participants were included in each group of the study (ie study group(s), control group).
 - **Interventions**—A brief description of any interventions administered. (e.g. OMM, medications, etc.)
 - **Main Outcome Measure(s)** - A brief description of the study's outcome measurements. (e.g. blood pressure, symptom scores, patient satisfaction scales)
- **Results** - A brief summary of the main results along with declarations and explanations of any important measurements. Authors should include the study's relevant statistical information (e.g. confidence intervals, levels of statistical significance).
- **Conclusion** - How does this study add to the body of knowledge on the topic? Provide a brief summary of the study's conclusions directly supported by the reported evidence. Authors may include clinical applications and any recommendations for additional study.

Note: Abstracts are limited to **300** words.

Research Study Abstract Example

Title: Interexaminer Reliability for Assessing the Lumbar Spine by Diagnostic Palpation

Authors: S. Rivera-Martinez, D.O.⁽¹⁾ J.D. Capobianco, D.O.⁽²⁾

⁽¹⁾Long Beach Medical Center, Dept. of Family Practice, Long Beach, NY 11561

⁽²⁾New York College of Osteopathic Medicine, New York Institute of Technology
Dept. of Osteopathic Manipulative Medicine, Old Westbury, NY 11568

Background: Osteopathic physicians employ diagnostic palpation as a method to evaluate problems of the lumbar spine and to assess the results of manipulative treatment. However, the reliability of this primary diagnostic tool has not been well established.

Objective: The objective of this study is to determine if training the examiners on a specific methodology of palpatory diagnosis has a significant impact on the outcome of interexaminer agreement.

Methods: The research protocol was approved by the NYCOM/NYIT IRB. It was designed as a pre and post training examiner reliability study on the interobserver agreement. A total of sixty subjects and four examiners were recruited. At each session the examiners diagnosed the L1-L5 lumbar spinal segments for rotational asymmetry by static palpation and for severity of the asymmetry by motion-based palpation. The transverse processes of the lumbar spinal segments were clearly identified to ensure consistent palpation of the same anatomic site. Thirty subjects participated in the pre-training session to obtain baseline examiner concordance. Following the pre-training session an expert in diagnostic palpation trained the examiners. In the post-training session the examiners diagnosed another thirty subjects utilizing the methods demonstrated by the expert during the training sessions. Kappa statistics were computed to compare pre and post training results.

Results: Poor interexaminer concordance was demonstrated in the pre-training session with Kappa coefficients of 0.087 for static asymmetry and 0.082 for motion-based severity rating. In contrast, acceptable concordance was obtained in the post-training session with kappa coefficients of 0.52 and 0.50 for static and motion-based palpation respectively.

Conclusions: Kappa scores indicating improved interexaminer concordance after training the examiners on specific palpatory procedures were established. The results of this study suggest that standardization of the methods utilized by each examiner to determine a palpatory diagnosis may have a positive influence on interobserver agreement.

APPLICATION FORM

2009 NYSOMS INTERN/RESIDENT SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH POSTER COMPETITION

Experimental Research or Case Study

Friday, April 9, 2010

Sheraton Long Island - Hauppauge, New York

Please complete this form and mail to the NYSOMS office with ONE copy of your abstract. Do not staple this form to the abstract. The completed application(s) and abstract(s) must be received by the NYSOMS office no later than Thursday, February 25, 2010 by 5 p.m. A copy of the abstract must be submitted electronically to info@nysoms.org subject: **Abstract**. Entries received after the deadline will NOT be accepted.

PLEASE CHECK ONE CATEGORY: CASE STUDY EXPERIMENTAL RESEARCH

POSTER TITLE: _____

SUBMITTING (PRIMARY) AUTHOR: _____

INTERN OR YEAR OF RESIDENCY (CIRCLE): PGY1 PGY2 PGY3 PGY4 PGY5 PGY6

INSTITUTION AND DEPARTMENT: _____

HOME STREET ADDRESS: _____

CITY _____ STATE _____ ZIP _____

TELEPHONE: _____ E-MAIL ADDRESS: _____

LIST OTHER AUTHORS

Name _____ Institution _____ Dept _____

Name _____ Institution _____ Dept _____

Name _____ Institution _____ Dept _____

1. Was this research funded by some agency?

No Yes [list]: _____

2. IRB approval status:

Received

Not Applicable [explain] _____

3. **Signed proprietary statement/disclosure:**

I verify that I had significantly participated in this research project.

I certify that I have no affiliation/financial involvement in any organization or entity with a direct financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in the abstract or presentation.

OR

I certify that I have an affiliation/financial involvement with _____ which has a direct financial interest in the subject matter/materials discussed in the abstract or presentation.

Submitting Author's signature Date signed

4. **Signature of Applicant's** **Director of Medical Education** OR **Program Director** Date signed

5. **Printed Name of Applicant's** **Director of Medical Education** OR **Program Director**

Submit to: NYSOMS Research Poster Competition

1855 Broadway, New York, NY 10023

Fax: (212) 261-1786 email: info@nysoms.org

Questions / additional information: (800) 841-4131 or www.nysoms.org